



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/662,679                                    | 09/15/2000     | Fernando C. M. Martins | 10559/195001/P8367      | 10559/195001/P8367 1908 |  |
| 20985 7.                                      | 590 11/25/2003 | EXAMINER               |                         | NER                     |  |
| FISH & RICHARDSON, PC<br>12390 EL CAMINO REAL |                |                        | BECKER, SHAWN M         |                         |  |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081                      |                |                        | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   |                |                        | 2173                    | 17                      |  |
|   |                |                        | DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003 | 10                      |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/662,679   | MARTINS, FERNANDO C. M.   |  |  |  |
| ,  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Shawn M. Becker  | 2173  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | (1) a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim   | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in   |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dehave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee elefe. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | in better form for appeal by ma  | terially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | d be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _   |  | sidered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ecause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were newly  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v  |  |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows  | ):<br>:  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap  | proved or b)☐ disapproved by   | the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  | JOHN CABECA   |  |  |  |
|  | C  | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces new limitations such as a gesture window, timing window, and obtaining video data while the audio data is being played. These new limitations were not earlier introduced, change the scope of the claims, and affect the dependant claims, thus further search and consideration is needed..